Snell & Wilmer LLPAW OFFICE 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 702.784,5200	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Patrick G. Byrne, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7636 Richard C. Gordon, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9036 Paul Swenson Prior, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9324 Christian P. Ogata, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 15612 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252 Email: pbyrne@swlaw.com	
	10	Attorneys for Defendant City of Henderson	
	11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
	13	LaTesha Watson,	
	14	Plaintiff	Case No.: 2:20-cv-01761-CDS-BNW
	15	V.	Stipulation and Proposed Order Extending Deadlines for Replies to Motions for
	16 17	City of Henderson, et al., Defendants	Summary Judgment and for City of Henderson to File Answer to First Amended Complaint
	18	Detendants	(Second and Third Request)
	19		(Second and Third Request)
	20	Defendants City of Henderson (the "City"), Kevin Abernathy, and plaintiff LaTesha	
	21	Watson (collectively the "Parties") stipulate to extend the deadline for the Parties' to file their	
	22	replies in support of the motions for summary judgment up to and including May 12, 2023, and	
	23	for the City to file its answer to the first amended complaint up to and including April 27, 2023.	
	24	The Parties make the following stipulation for good cause, not for the purpose of delay, and for	
	25	the following reasons:	
	26	1. On November 1, 2021, Watson amended her complaint, asserting nine causes of	
	27	action against the City, Abernathy, and several previously named individual defendants.	
	28	g, ,	,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. During discovery, Watson voluntarily dismissed five of those defendants and another after it had closed.
- 3. On February 16, 2023, Judge Weksler granted the Parties' stipulation to extend the dispositive motion deadline to February 22, 2023.¹
- 4. Watson then filed two motions for partial summary judgment: one against the City² and one against Abernathy.³
 - 1. The City and Abernathy both also filed motions for summary judgment.⁴
- 2. On March 23, 2023, this Court found that resolution of the motions to dismiss was unnecessary given the filing of the cross-motions for summary judgment.⁵
- 5. After the motions for summary judgment were filed, Judge Weksler granted Abernathy's motion to compel additional deposition testimony from Watson. That deposition took place on March 23, 2023, and the transcript for that deposition became available April 2, 2023.
- 6. This Court previously granted an extension for the briefing deadlines, setting the responses for April 14, 2023, and the replies for May 5, 2023.
 - 7. All Parties filed their responses to the pending summary judgment motions.
- 8. Given the number of claims still at issue in this dispute and on which the Parties have moved for summary judgment, and the complex legal issues involved within each, the Parties need additional time to complete their replies to the motions.
- 9. And because the Parties are currently finalizing briefing related to the summaryjudgment motions, the City needs additional time to prepare its answer to Watson's complaint, which requires it to analyze the allegations that survive Watson's voluntary dismissal of various defendants in this matter.
 - 10. The Parties have agreed to the extensions requested.
 - 11. This stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

¹ ECF No. 155.

² ECF No. 157.

³ ECF No. 158.

⁴ ECF Nos. 162, 164.

⁵ ECF No. 183.